

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/828,312	8,312 04/05/2001		Hiroshi Wanibuchi	83020.0002	6572
26021	7590	12/01/2003		EXAM	INER
HOGAN & HARTSON L.L.P.				TRAN, LY T	
500 S. GRAND AVENUE SUITE 1900				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LOS ANGEI		90071-2611		2853	

DATE MAILED: 12/01/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		(A)						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Office Action Commons	09/828,312	WANIBUCHI ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Ly T TRAN	2853						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed  s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 O	<u>ctober 2003</u> .							
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This	action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	nce except for formal matters, pro Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	osecution as to the merits is 53 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims								
4) ☐ Claim(s) 2-42 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) 4,6-23,25-42, 24/4,6-23,25-34 is/are 6) ☐ Claim(s) 5,2,3,24/2,3,5 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration. allowed.							
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 10.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest since a specific reference was included in the firm 37 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign language profits the priority document is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for d	is have been received. Is have been received in Applicate rity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). In of the certified copies not receive priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1190 st sentence of the specification of the priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 povisional application has been received priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 povisional application has been received priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 povisional application has been received priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 povisional application has been received priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 povisional application has been received priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 povisional application has been received priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 povisional application has been received priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 povisional application has been received priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 povisional application has been received priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 povisional application has been received priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 povisional application has been received priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 povisional application has been received priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 povisional application has been received priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 povisional application has been received priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 povisional application priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	ion No ed in this National Stage ed. (e) (to a provisional application) or in an Application Data Sheet. ceived. O and/or 121 since a specific						
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal I	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)						

Application/Control Number: 09/828,312

Art Unit: 2853

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 5 and 24/5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsui et al (USPN 5,896,143) in view of Ishikawa et al. USPN (5,503,287).

With respect to claim 5, Matsui et al discloses a cleaning device for cleaning a nozzle surface of an ink jet head comprising:

- A first wiping member for wiping the nozzle surface (Fig.15: element 18)
   because the wiper 18 moves up and down so it's inherently has a support member to support the wiper and some kind of mechanism to move the wiper.
- A flat plate form second wiping member (Fig.15: element 27) arranged within a moving path of the first wiping member so as to contact with the first wiping member (Fig.1: element 34);
- A carriage (fig.15: element 2) carrying the ink jet head for reciprocally moving along a second moving path through a printing region (element 28) and a cleaning position where the head opposes to the first wiping member, a direction of the second moving path being different from that of

the first moving path (in Fig.15, the carriage moves back and forth form left to right, the wiper 18 moves up and down, therefore the second direction is different from the first direction)

 Wherein a tip end surface of the first wiping member first comes in substantially perpendicular contact with a side surface of the second wiping member and then moves across the side surface (see figure 15)

With respect to claim 24/5, Matsui discloses:

- An ink jet head (Fig.15: element 2)
- A cleaning device (Element 18) which is arranged offsetting from a printing region (element 28) of the ink jet head.

However, Matsui fails to teach the second wiper is formed of elastic.

Matsui discloses the claimed invention except that the wiper is formed of absorbent instead of elastic. Ishikawa et al. shows that absorbent and elastic is an equivalent structure known in the art (Column 2: line 28-29). Therefore, because absorbent and elastic were art recognized equivalents at the time the invention was made, one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to substitute elastic for absorbent for the same purpose such as to absorbing and removing the unnecessary ink.

2. Claims 5, 2 and 24/5,2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takahashi et al. (USPN 5,798,775) in view of Ishikawa et al. USPN (5,503,287).

Application/Control Number: 09/828,312

Art Unit: 2853

2. Claims 5, 2 and 24/5,2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takahashi et al. (USPN 5,798,775) in view of Ishikawa et al. USPN (5,503,287).

With respect to claim 5, Takahashi discloses a cleaning device for cleaning a nozzle surface of an ink jet head comprising:

A first wiping member for wiping the nozzle surface (Fig.5: element 401);

Page 4

- A cleaner lever for supporting the first wiping member (Fig.5: element 410);
- A lever driving mechanism moving the first wiping member between a retracted position located away from the nozzle surface and a wiping position for wiping the nozzle surface (Column 11: line 10-57);
- A flat plate form second wiping member arranged within a moving path of the first wiping member so as to contact with the first wiping member
   (Fig.5: element 104);
- A carriage (element 2) carrying the ink jet head for reciprocally moving along a second moving path through a printing region and a cleaning position where the head opposes to the first wiping member, a direction of the second moving path being different from that of the first moving path (in Fig.7A, the carriage moves back and forth form left to right, the wiper 401 moves up and down, therefore the second direction is different from the first direction)

•

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/828,312

Art Unit: 2853

 Wherein a tip end surface of the first wiping member first comes in substantially perpendicular contact with a side surface of the second wiping member and then moves across the side surface (Fig.7A: element 104, 401)

With respect to claim 2, Takahashi et al discloses:

- The first wiping member (Fig.5: element 401) is designed to move between the retracted position and wiping position located above retracted position, by moving a cleaner lever (Fig.5: element 410)
- The second wiping member is arranged above the retracted position and below the wiping position (Fig.5: element 104)

With respect to claim 24/2, 5, Sasaki Takahashi et al discloses:

- An ink jet head (Fig.7A: element 1)
- A cleaning device which is arranged offsetting from a printing region of the ink jet head (Fig.5: element 401 is offset form the print head).

However, Takahashi fails to teach the second wiper is formed of elastic.

Takahashi discloses the claimed invention except that the wiper is formed of absorbent instead of elastic. Ishikawa et al. shows that absorbent and elastic is an equivalent structure known in the art. Therefore, because absorbent and elastic were art recognized equivalents at the time the invention was made, one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to substitute elastic for absorbent for the same purpose such as to absorbing and removing the unnecessary ink.

Application/Control Number: 09/828,312 Page 6

Art Unit: 2853

3. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsui et al (USPN 5,896,143) in view of Ishikawa et al. USPN (5,503,287) as applied to claim 5 above, further in view of Sasaki Tetsu (JP04-328521).

The combination of Matsui and Ishikawa fails to teach the third wiper which is able to contact the second wiper.

Sasaki Tetsu teaches the third wiper which is able to contact the second wiper (Fig.1: element 28).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made as modify to have the third wiper as taught by Sasaki. The motivation of doing so is to clean the wiper.

# Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 4, 6-23, 25-42 and 24/4, 6-23, 25-34 are allowed.

The primary reason for the allowance of claims 4, 25-34 and 24/4, 25-34 is the inclusion of an apparatus of a cleaning device comprising a combination of a first supporting member, second supporting member wherein a length of a portion of the second wiping member projecting from the first supporting ember is greater than a length of a portion of the second wiping member projecting from the second supporting member. It is this structure found in each of the claims, as it is claimed in the combination, that has not been found, taught, or suggested by the prior art of record which makes these claims allowable over the prior art.

Application/Control Number: 09/828,312 Page 7

Art Unit: 2853

The primary reason for the allowance of claims 6-13 and 24/6-13 is the inclusion of an apparatus of a cleaning device comprising a combination of a lever driving mechanism includes a friction type clutch lever which is frictionally engaged with one of gears constituting the gear train by means of a predetermined biasing force and is arranged coaxially with the gear and a tooth portion formed on the clutch lever which engages with the gear train when the clutch lever is in a predetermined rotational angular range. It is this structure found in each of the claims, as it is claimed in the combination, that has not been found, taught, or suggested by the prior art of record which makes these claims allowable over the prior art.

The primary reason for the allowance of claims 14-20 and 24/14-20 is the inclusion of an apparatus of a cleaning device comprising a combination of a lever driving mechanism includes a friction type clutch lever which is frictionally engaged with one of gears constituting the gear train by means of a predetermined biasing force and is arranged coaxially with the gear and a tooth portion formed on the clutch lever which engages with the gear train when the clutch lever is in a predetermined rotational angular range. It is this structure found in each of the claims, as it is claimed in the combination, that has not been found, taught, or suggested by the prior art of record which makes these claims allowable over the prior art.

The primary reason for the allowance of claims 21-23 and 24/21-23 is the inclusion of a combination of apparatus of a cleaning device comprising a lock lever for locking the ink jet head at a predetermined position and a second cam mechanism from converting rotation of clutch lever into movement of the lock lever. It is this structure

found in each of the claims, as it is claimed in the combination, that has not been found, taught, or suggested by the prior art of record which makes these claims allowable over the prior art.

The primary reason for the allowance of claims 35-42 is the inclusion of a cleaning device for cleaning a nozzle surface of an ink jet head comprising combination of a lever driving mechanism includes a friction type clutch lever which is frictionally engaged with one of gears constituting the gear train by means of a predetermined biasing force and is arranged coaxially with the gear and a tooth portion formed on the clutch lever which engages with the gear train when the clutch lever is in a predetermined rotational angular range. It is this structure found in each of the claims, as it is claimed in the combination, that has not been found, taught, or suggested by the prior art of record which makes these claims allowable over the prior art.

## Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 5, 2 and 3 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ly T TRAN whose telephone number is 703-308-0752. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30am-5pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Meier can be reached on 703-308-4896. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0967.

November 20, 2003

Stephen D. Meier Primary Examiner